SCHOOL:	NAME OF EVALUATOR



ERCO Charter Application and Interview Questionnaire Evaluation Rubric – Schools Changing Sponsors

1. MISSION, VISION & ALIGNM	1. MISSION, VISION & ALIGNMENT			
Evaluation Criteria (question #3, #17)	Evaluation Criteria (question #3, #17)			
	the purpose of the charter school			
The mission is clear, concise, co	. •			
	hout all sections of the application and	•		
	description of what the school looks lil	_		
	ligned to the mission and have produce			
The key design elements are su	upported by research and/or evidence	of success in the school.		
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		
	1			
	1			
	1			
2. EDUCATION PROGRAM AND				
• •	Evaluation Criteria (question #13, #16-17, #23 (b))			
	The education program is clear, coherent and supported by research and/or evidence of success			
	The education program has produced academic success			
The education program is consistent with the school's mission and program design				
	The education program presents a clear and coherent framework for teaching and learning, and			
<u> </u>	demonstrates alignment with current state standards: Goals and objectives are specific, measurable, ambitious, attainable, and relevant			
Goals and objectives align with the school's mission and educational program Goals set high standards for student learning and school operations			1	
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		
	1			
	1			
	1			
!	1			

Page 1 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NAM	ME OF EVALUATOR:	Exercision of Court International Court Intern
3. EDUCATION PROGRAM: CU	RRICULUM, PEDAGOGY, ASSESSME	ENT, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	
Evaluation Criteria (question #13-16,	, #17 (a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(h)(i), #18 (d)(c),	#23 (b))	
	he school's mission and education		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d coherent framework for teaching	and learning, particularly in core academ	nic areas, that incorporates or
exceeds state standards			
	ctional strategies meet the needs of		
_	- ,	pate in the statewide system of assessmen	•
· ·	reliability of non-standardized asse	essments, as well as how these assessmer	nts are aligned with the school design
and high expectations		d + i - u - l u d	of atual and a
·		e educational performance and progress	or students
Defines clear promotion and re		ons that improve academic outcomes	
		mation on their children's performance a	and progress, and for notification if a
child may be retained	g parents/guardians frequent infor	mation on their enhancer's performance a	ma progress, and for notification if a
Explains how the needs of teach	chers will be assessed		
•		am that assesses and meets the needs of	individual teachers.
· ·			
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	

Page 2 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NA	AME OF EVALUATOR:		
4. EDUCATION PROGRAM: AT-RISK STUDENTS Evaluation Criteria (question #17 (b)(e)(i)(k)) Presents a clear process for identifying at-risk students, including students with disabilities, English language learners, and other students at risk of failing Demonstrates that adequate resources and staff are devoted to meeting the needs of all students Describes the specific services provided for at-risk students within and outside the classroom Demonstrates that laws and regulations governing services for at-risk students are followed Explains how parents are involved in ensuring that the needs of at-risk students are met				
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Evaluation Criteria (question #17 (Describes appropriate and e Includes evidence that the so	ffective strategies to support a school chool has established and maintaine	ol climate that has allowed for fulfillment d a safe environment conducive to learn expulsion, including procedures for due pr	ing	
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		

Page 3 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	DL: NAME OF EVALUATOR:			
6. OPERATIONS: GOVERNANCE Evaluation Criteria (question B, #4-5, #17 (i), #19) Demonstrates that the governing board understands its roles and responsibilities, supports the school's mission, and ensures meaningful oversight of school performance, operations, and financials Demonstrates board structures and procedures that effectively govern the school Demonstrates that the board membership contributes the wide range of relevant knowledge, skills, and commitment needed to oversee a successful charter school, including educational, financial, legal, and community experience and expertise Describes the role of parents in the governance of the school, if applicable Provides evidence that governing board members participate in meaningful board training Resume provided for all board members By-Laws and procedures, Governing Board meeting schedule for the first year is provided				
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
7. OPERATIONS: ORGANIZATION Evaluation Criteria (question #8-9, #1				
Provides a sensible organization Describes the structure and resinstructional leadership, curriculated in the sensible organization and concapacity to successfully manages.	nal chart that properly indicates all possponsibilities of staff members that inculum, personnel, budgeting, financial impetencies of the lead person that all the school	isitions, delineating board and manage clude effective assignment of managem management, legal compliance, and angn with the school's mission and prograded with the budget and with the school	nent roles and responsibilities for ny special staffing needs am and demonstrate lead person's	
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		

Page 4 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	DL: NAME OF EVALUATOR:		
8. OPERATIONS: FACILITY			
Evaluation Criteria (question #18 (g	;)(h))		
Demonstrates the school has	a viable educational facility that med	ets the needs of the students and accom	nmodate the programmatic and
operational needs of the scho	ool		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	e, cost of purchasing, leasing, building, c	or renovating an educational facility
	nealth, safety, and occupancy require		
,	·	ion of facilities are reasonable in their so	• •
school has the capacity and p	lans to allocate project management	t resources to manage risks of on-time c	completion
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	

Page 5 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NAN	OF EVALUATOR:		
9. OPERATIONS: BUDGET AN				
Evaluation Criteria (question #2, #1				
Presents budget priorities the enrollment and facility	at are consistent with key parts of the	e plan, including the school's mission, ed	ducational program, staffing,	
First Year Budget- balanced, debt	realistic, evidence-based revenue and	l expenditure assumptions, including fo	r any plan to incur and repay allowable	
Demonstrates a commitment	t to maintaining the financial viability	of the school		
		line items allow for the assessment of f	iscal viability	
		s, profit and loss reports for the last 12	•	
	·	d monthly and year-end balances are no		
		adverse audit findings have been correc	·	
controls are in place	,	3	•	
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		

Page 6 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018



SCORING (1-4 ON EACH COM	PONENT)
1. Mission, Vision and Alignment	
2. Education Program and Goals	
3. Education Program: Curriculum, Pedagogy, Assessment & PD	
4. Education Program: At-Risk Students	
5. Education Program: School Culture and Discipline	
6. Operations: Governance	
7. Operations: Organization	
8. Operations: Facility	
9. Operations: Budget and Finances	
TOTAL	
(NUMBER OF SECTIONS)	÷ 9
MEAN	

SCALE			
4	3	2	1
Exceeds Expectations	Meets	Approaches	Below
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations

CUT SCORE: 3.50-4.00 = Exceeds Expectations **2.50-3.49** = Meets Expectations **2.00-2.49** = Approaches Expectations **1.00-1.99** = Does Not Meet Expectation

Page 7 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018